Antibody escape and cryptic cross-domain stabilization in the SARS CoV-2 Omicron spike protein

Kamyab Javanmardi^{1*}, Thomas H. Segall-Shapiro², Chia-Wei Chou¹, Daniel R. Boutz^{1,2}, Randall J.
Olsen^{2,3,4}, Xuping Xie⁵, Hongjie Xia⁵, Pei-Yong Shi⁵, Charlie D. Johnson⁶, Ankur Annapareddy¹, Scott Weaver⁷, James M. Musser^{3,4}, Andrew D. Ellington^{1,8}, Ilya J. Finkelstein^{1,8*}, Jimmy D. Gollihar^{1,2*}

6

7 Affiliations:

- 8 1. Department of Molecular Biosciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas
- Laboratory of Antibody Discovery and Accelerated Protein Therapeutics, Center for Infectious
 Diseases, Houston Methodist Research Institute and Department of Pathology and Genomic
 Medicine, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas
- Laboratory of Molecular and Translational Human Infectious Diseases Research, Center for
 Infectious Diseases, Houston Methodist Research Institute and Department of Pathology and
 Genomic Medicine, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas
- Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York
- Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Texas Medical Branch,
 Galveston, Texas
- 19 6. Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas
- 7. University of Texas Medical Branch, World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and
 Arboviruses, Galveston, Texas
- 8. Center for Systems and Synthetic Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas
- 23
- 24 * Corresponding authors
- 25 Kamyab Javanmardi: <u>kjavanmardi@utexas.edu</u>
- 26 Ilya J. Finkelstein: ilya@finkelsteinlab.org
- 27 Jimmy D. Gollihar: jgollihar2@houstonmethodist.org
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- <u>-</u>
- 35
- 36

37 Summary:

38 The worldwide spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has led to the 39 repeated emergence of variants of concern. The Omicron variant has two dominant sub-lineages, BA.1 and 40 BA.2, each with unprecedented numbers of nonsynonymous and indel spike protein mutations: 33 and 29, 41 respectively. Some of these mutations individually increase transmissibility and enhance immune evasion, 42 but their interactions within the Omicron mutational background is unknown. We characterize the 43 molecular effects of all Omicron spike mutations on expression, human ACE2 receptor affinity, and 44 neutralizing antibody recognition. We show that key mutations enable escape from neutralizing antibodies 45 at a variety of epitopes. Stabilizing mutations in the N-terminal and S2 domains of the spike protein compensate for destabilizing mutations in the receptor binding domain, thereby enabling the record number 46 47 of mutations in Omicron sub-lineages. Taken together, our results provide a comprehensive account of the 48 mutational effects in the Omicron spike protein and illuminate previously unknown mechanisms of how 49 the N-terminal domain can compensate for destabilizing mutations within the more evolutionarily 50 constrained RBD.

51

52 Keywords: COVID-19, viral glycoprotein, cell display, VOCs, B.1.1.529, high-throughput, flow53 cytometry

55 Introduction

56

The continuous evolution and spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has produced variants of concern (VOCs) and variants of interest (VOIs) with enhanced immune evasion, transmissibility, and occasionally increased disease severity^{1–5}. Omicron (or B.1.1.529 in the PANGO nomenclature) rapidly displaced the Delta VOC globally^{6–8}. The BA.1 sub-lineage of Omicron caused record numbers of infections and breakthrough cases in fully vaccinated and previously infected individuals^{9,10}. As of February 2022, the BA.2 lineage has displaced BA.1 in many countries and shows additional enhanced transmissibility over all prior VOCs^{11,12}.

The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is key to both transmissibility and immune evasion¹³. This 64 65 homotrimeric protein is displayed on the SARS-CoV-2 capsid surface, mediates virus binding and entry 66 into host cells, and elicits a strong immune response that gives rise to neutralizing antibodies and a robust T-cell response¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The spike protein ectodomain (ECD) is the primary immune target and consists of 67 three main functional units: the N-terminal domain (NTD), receptor binding domain (RBD), and the 68 fusogenic stalk $(S2)^{17}$. Because of its importance in cell entrance and immune escape, spike mutations 69 70 accumulate rapidly in circulating viral variants (Figure 1A). The NTD appears to tolerate the most 71 mutations, harboring 31% of all amino acid substitutions and 84% of indels found in circulating variants 72 (GISAID database accessed on 18/December/2021) (Figure 1B), while the RBD and S2 regions are more 73 restricted in the structural changes that they can tolerate, likely due to conserved functional constraints of 74 host-cell receptor binding and membrane fusion. The functional consequences of most of these mutations— 75 and the molecular epistasis of multiple mutations—is key for understanding viral evolution and interactions 76 with our immune system.

Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages have an unprecedented 33 and 29 nonsynonymous changes
relative to the ancestral Wuhan-Hu-1 (WHU1) lineage. These include four distinct amino acid (AA)
deletions (del), one insertion (ins), and 36 substitutions distributed across the ECD (Figure 1C). BA.2

shares 21 of the mutations in BA.1, but also contains eight unique substitutions and deletions (Figure 1D).
Some of these mutations increase the evasion of neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAb), rendering most
mAb therapies ineffective¹⁸. Similarly, the mutations significantly reduced authentic virus neutralization by
convalescent and vaccinated/boosted sera^{19,20}. While recent studies have probed small numbers of
individual amino acid changes within BA.1 and BA.2^{21,22}, questions about the synergistic and contextual
effects of most Omicron mutations remain unanswered.

Here, we leverage mammalian cell surface display of the spike protein to rapidly characterize the 86 expression, antibody binding, and cell receptor affinity of coronavirus spike ECDs²³. We characterize the 87 88 effects of all Omicron mutations with respect to human ACE2 (hACE2) binding, spike protein stability, and escape from multiple distinct classes of mAbs. We compare the effects of individual spike protein 89 90 mutations between the WHU1 and Omicron mutational contexts to reveal how these mutations alter 91 antigenicity and hACE2 affinity. These results also explain how Omicron evades neutralizing mAbs, 92 including those with quaternary binding between adjacent spike protomers, via changing both the surface epitopes and RBD conformational dynamics. Finally, we show that NTD mutations potentiate new RBD 93 94 mutations, expanding the ability of the RBD to further evolve under increased evolutionary pressure from our adaptive immune response. 95

96

97 **Results**

98 Omicron spike proteins have distinct antigenic features

We used mammalian cell surface display to compare the antigenicity and expression of the BA.1 and BA.2
ECDs (residues 1-1208) to earlier VOCs (Figure 1E). We transiently express spike variants on the surface
of human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cells. Surface-displayed spikes are then immunostained to
measure expression, antibody binding, and hACE2 affinity by two-color flow cytometry (Figures S1A-B,
see Methods)²³.

104 We first cloned spike proteins from five VOCs (Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Omicron BA.1 & 105 BA.2) and one VOI (Epsilon), representing the dominant variants during different surges of the pandemic. 106 The globally-dominant D614G mutation and six prefusion stabilizing prolines were incorporated into all 107 variants to increase surface expression and maintain the prefusion conformation^{24–26}. We assayed each 108 variant for expression and antibody escape potential with a set of 21 mAbs, many with known highresolution structures (Figures 1F and S1C-D)²⁷⁻²⁹. Of these, nine are NTD-targeting mAbs^{28,30,31}, which 109 110 we previously classified based on their binding epitopes (classes I-IV)²³. The remaining 12 target all four classes of neutralizing RBD epitopes^{27,32}. We include the clinically-used REGN10933 (casirivimab) and 111 REGN10987 (imdevimab)^{33,34}, LY-CoV555 (bamlanivimab)³⁵, and S309 (sotrovimab)^{36,37} antibodies. We 112 also tested four mAbs with quaternary RBD binding modes^{27,31,38} (C002, C144, 2-43, and N3-1) and the 113 pan-variant mAb S2H97^{31,32,37,38}. Together, this panel provides a comprehensive overview of neutralizing 114 115 antibody escape by variant spike proteins.

116 Consistent with previous reports^{20–22,39,40}, BA.1 and BA.2 show enhanced antibody escape compared to all other variants (Figure 1F). BA.1 escapes the majority of antibodies in our panel, including 117 118 nearly all classes of NTD binding mAbs tested here (the only exception is the class II antibody 5-7). BA.1 119 spike is also refractory to many RBD targeting mAbs, with strong escape from class II binders, half of the 120 class III binders, and all quaternary binders. BA.2 shows considerable antibody escape but remains 121 susceptible to the class I NTD binders and some class III RBD antibodies. In contrast, BA.2 escapes 5-7, 122 the noncanonical class I RBD binder S2X35, and the class III RBD binder S309 to a greater degree than 123 BA.1.

To test whether these results translated to live virus, we performed microneutralization assays with authentic BA.1 virus and a subset of ten mAbs with known neutralization of WHU1. We tested four NTDbinding mAbs, one from each of the four binding classes (**Figure 1G**). Consistent with the cell surface display results, BA.1 completely escaped neutralization by 4A8 (class I), 4-8 (class III), and 4-18 (class IV). Only the class II mAb 5-7 had a measurable neutralizing effect. Similarly, we tested a representative

of each of the four classes of RBD-targeting mAbs and two additional quaternary binding mAbs (Figure
130 1H). Again, BA.1 escaped the same antibodies as in the mammalian cell surface display assay. In the
aggregate, the data showed that our screening methodology recapitulated other *in vitro* and *in vivo*observations and that the BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins are antigenically distinct.

133

134 BA.1 evades NTD-targeting mAbs better than BA.2

135 We sought to investigate the molecular mechanism of mAb binding escape by Omicron, starting with the 136 effects of the NTD mutations. All VOCs contain at least one mutation in the NTD that increases viral escape from NTD-targeting mAbs (Figure 1F)^{2,41–43}. Compared to previous VOCs, BA.1 and BA.2 have 137 138 the most mutated NTDs: 11 and 6 NTD mutations, respectively. The BA.1 NTD has four AA substitutions, 139 three deletions (del69-70, del143-145, del211), and a novel insertion (ins214EPE) (Figure 2A). The BA.2 140 NTD has four AA substitutions and one deletion (del25-27). Several of these mutations are located in the intrinsically disordered NTD loops (N-loops) that comprise an antigenic supersite (Figures S2A-E)^{23,29,44}. 141 142 Strikingly, BA.1 and BA.2 only share one G142D substitution, which also appears in the Kappa and Delta 143 variants.

We first screened WHU1 spike protein variants containing each of the individual mutations found in BA.1 and BA.2 (**Figure S2F**)²³. BA.1 completely escaped all NTD class I, III, and IV mAbs due to a series of contiguous mutations (G142D, del143-145) in the N3-loop (**Figure 2B**). Binding by 5-7 (class II), which interacts with the periphery of the NTD supersite, was reduced ~5-fold by these mutations^{31,45}. The other BA.1 mutations (A67V, del69-70, T95I, del211, L212I, and ins214EPE) also had moderate effects on binding of mAb 5-7, but the other mAbs were not impacted.

To further interrogate these antigenicity changes, we dissected the mutation clusters into different combinations of mutations (**Figure S2G**). Class I mAbs, which predominately bind towards the apex of the N3-loop, had decreased binding due to a shortening of the loop (deletions) and the Y145D mutation (**Figure**

S2G-H). Conversely, binding of class III and IV mAbs was more sensitive to mutations located at the base
of the N3-loop (G142D and del142) (Figure S2I). Mutations del211 and ins214EPE each decreased binding
of mAb 5-7 1.9-fold and moderately decreased pseudovirus neutralization (Figures 2B and S2G)²¹. These
results document the importance of both the G142D substitution and the 143-145 deletion for the ability of
BA.1 to escape different classes of NTD-targeting mAbs.

BA.2 still retained sensitivity to some NTD-targeting mAbs (**Figure 1F**). Mutations in the N1-loop (T19I, L24R, del25-del27) moderately decreased binding for class II, III, and IV mAbs (**Figure 2C and Figure S2J**) and in combination with G142D, BA.2 completely escaped antibody recognition at this site. Notably, the V213G substitution, found distal from the N-loops and in the same location as the del211, L212I, ins214EPE mutation cluster in BA.1, was inconsequential for mAb binding. However, these mutations may influence virus fitness at the RNA or protein level in ways that cannot be accessed by our platform.

165 Next, we tested whether BA.1 and BA.2 mutations impacted antibody escape at the NTD. Starting 166 with each of the BA.1 and BA.2 sequences, we systematically reverted each of the NTD mutations to WT and measured the difference in mAb binding when compared with the full variant mutation set (Figures 167 2D-E). Reversion of the N3-loop mutations (G142D, del143-145) in BA.1 restored binding for all the 168 affected mAbs (Figure 2F). However, reversion of the del211, L212I, ins214EPE mutation cluster failed 169 170 to restore binding by mAb 5-7 to WHU1 levels. Reversion of N1-loop mutations (L24S, del25-27) and G142D in the BA.2 context each partially restored binding, showing the additive effect of antibody escape 171 172 for the class II, III, and IV mAbs (Figure 2G). Surprisingly, reversion of T19I in the BA.2 context failed to restore any binding for mAb CM30, due to the strong escape elicited by the other BA.2 NTD mutations. 173 174 Thus, BA.1 and BA.2 effectively evade class III and IV mAbs but show different binding of class I and II mAbs. These observations highlight the continued immunological selection to evade potent mAbs elicited 175 by natural infection or immunization^{17,44}. 176

178 Mutation context of Omicron RBDs impact mAb escape mechanisms

179 Despite the significance of immunologic responses to the NTD, mucosal and systemic responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection primarily target the RBD during the acute phase of natural infection⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸. Thus, 180 understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying RBD-targeting mAb escape is critical. BA.1 and BA.2 181 182 share 12 RBD substitutions, with three additional substitutions (S371L, G446S, and G496S) unique to BA.1 and four substitutions (S371F, T376A, D405N, and R408S) unique to BA.2 (Figure 3A). To study the 183 184 effects of these RBD substitutions on mAb binding we first screened WHU1 spike protein variants 185 containing each of the individual mutations found in BA.1 and BA.2, using the 12 previously described RBD-targeting mAbs (Figures 3B and S3A). S2X35, a class I mAb, showed moderately reduced binding 186 187 due to the E484A mutation. However, each of the S371F, D405N, and R408S AA substitutions caused 188 substantial decreases in binding, likely accounting for the enhanced resistance of BA.2 to mAb S2X35. Class II antibodies C002, C144, and LY-CoV555, and the quaternary binder 2-43, were most affected by 189 190 the E484A and Q493R single mutations. Class III mAb REGN10987 showed decreased binding with both 191 the N440K and G446S single mutations, and C135 binding was greatly affected by both N440K and Q498R 192 (Figure 3B). Two other class III mAbs, C110 and S309, were weakly affected by S317F and were not 193 escaped by the BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins. Interestingly, S2H97, a class IV mAb, had 4.3-fold decreased 194 binding due to the S371F substitution, versus the 1.4-fold decrease observed with the full set of BA.2 spike mutations. Conversely, no individual AA substitution greatly reduced N3-1 binding despite the strong 195 196 binding escape observed with the full BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins.

197 Previous studies of Omicron mutations on mAb escape have been performed solely by adding 198 Omicron AA mutations to the WHU1 spike protein^{21,22}. These binding or escape measurements fail to 199 capture the nonadditive, epistatic interactions among the mutated sites^{49,50}. To explore these contextual 200 effects, we reverted each individual Omicron RBD mutation back to the WT AA in the corresponding 201 BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins and assayed mAb binding (**Figures 3C-D**). Reversions associated with 202 improved binding, relative to the full set of BA.1 or BA.2 spike mutations, were interpreted to be

203	important for mAb escape in the BA.1 or BA.2 spikes. In the BA.2 spike, no single AA reversion restored
204	mAb S2X35 binding, suggesting an additive effect of S371F, D405N, and R408S mutations together are
205	responsible for escape. LyCoV555 appeared to escape by contributions from E484A and Q493R, which
206	restored binding to different levels in BA.1 and BA.2. REGN10933 binding was greatly restored by both
207	S477N and Q493R reversion in BA.1 and BA.2. However, the K417N reversion substantially restored
208	mAb binding for the BA.1 spike protein but not BA.2. REGN10987 retained affinity to BA.2 due to the
209	absence of the G446S substitution and dampened sensitivity to N440K in the BA.2 context. C135's
210	escape in BA.2 appears driven by N440K, which completely restored binding when reverted. In BA.1,
211	reverting N440K, G446S, and N501Y all restored binding, suggesting that they all contribute to escape.
212	No reversion in either the BA.1 or BA.2 contexts fully restored binding for the quaternary mAbs C144 or
213	2-43. Lastly, N3-1 binding improved upon reverting S375F in the BA.1 spike and, to a lesser degree,
214	S373P in BA.2. While these individual mutations do not directly clash with the N3-1 Fabs in the WHU1
215	structure, there are likely direct or allosteric perturbations to N3-1's engagement of the Omicron spike
216	(Figure S3B), highlighting the importance of mutation context in studying antibody escape pathways.
217	When comparing the effects of adding and reverting mutations in different spike protein contexts,
218	two interesting cases emerge: (1) mutations that are sufficient for escape in the WHU1 spike but not
219	necessary in the BA.1 or BA.2 spikes, and (2) mutations that are insufficient for escape by the WHU1
220	spike but necessary for the BA.1 or BA.2 spikes (Figures 3E-F). Sufficient but not necessary mutations
221	(below the diagonal line) may indicate examples where BA.1 or BA.2 have stacked multiple mutations
222	that each break a mAb-spike protein binding interaction. For example, C144 binding was strongly
223	disrupted by either E484A or Q493R when these mutations were made to the WHU1 spike but reverting
224	E484A in the BA.1 or BA.2 spike failed to restore binding (Figure 3G). Necessary but not sufficient
225	mutations (above the diagonal line) may indicate mutational clusters that have a synergistic or epistatic
226	effect on antibody binding. As an example, N440K reduced, and G446S and N501Y slightly reduced the
227	binding of antibody C135 when made in the WHU1 context (Figure 3H). BA.1, which has these three

mutations, nearly eliminated C135 binding, and this binding was partially restored when any of the three
mutations are reverted. The restoration effect from BA.1 is considerably larger than the reduction in
binding from WHU1 suggesting that N440K, G446S, and N501Y have synergistic effects greater than
their individual effects. In contrast, BA.2, which lacks G446S, does not show such clear synergy between
N440K and N501Y. Overall, these results highlight antigenic differences between the BA.1 and BA.2
spike RBDs and how the overall genetic context impacts antibody escape (Figure S3C).

234

BA.1 and BA.2 RBDs balance antibody escape and human ACE2 binding

Nearly half of the BA.1 and BA.2 RBD mutations are in the ACE2-binding receptor binding motif (RBM).
We screened each single RBD amino acid substitution in the WHU1 spike protein and observed increased
hACE2 binding with the S477N and N501Y mutations (Figure 3I). Conversely, mutations S371F, S375F,
G496S, and Y505H decreased hACE2 affinity. These results are consistent with previously reported RBD
DMS measurements⁵¹, although S371F was more detrimental to hACE2 binding in our assay. We speculate
this is due to contextual effects of the full spike glycoprotein.

242 Reverting each individual RBD mutation in the BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins showed the 243 deleterious effects of S371F, S375F, K417N, Q496S, and Y505H on hACE2 binding to be less severe in 244 the Omicron contexts. The critical role of N501Y for hACE2 binding by the BA.1 and BA.2 spikes was 245 also shown, as its removal nearly abrogated hACE2 binding, in our assays (Figures 3I and S3D-E). 246 Although Q498R mildly reduced hACE2 binding in the WHU1 context, it improved hACE2 binding for 247 the BA.1 spike, and, to a lesser extent the BA.2 spike. Cooperative hACE2 binding due to the Q498R and 248 N501Y substitutions has been previously noted, but to our knowledge the mutation-specific effects had not been measured in the full BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins^{50,52}. We validated these results via biolayer 249 250 interferometry (BLI). Using dimeric hACE2 we see similar changes in binding (Figures 3J and S3H). 251 Together, these results highlight the starkly different molecular basis for hACE2 engagement for BA.1 and BA.2, and the importance of the spike genetic context in understanding these interactions^{53–55}. 252

253

254 Cryptic cross-domain interactions in the BA.1 spike contribute to mAb escape

255 Reversion of single RBD mutations in the BA.1 and BA.2 spikes broadly failed to fully restore mAbs with 256 quaternary binding modes (C002, C144, 2-43, N3-1) (Figures S3F-G). These mAbs simultaneously engage two or three RBDs to enhance their binding via avidity effects. We reasoned that these antibodies can't 257 258 bind Omicron relative to the WHU1 spike because of subtle changes in the RBD conformation dynamics. In support of this hypothesis, structures of the BA.1 spike revealed a strict 1-RBD-up, 2-RBD-down 259 260 conformation⁵⁴. To identify potential cross-domain interactions that may contribute to the extent of escape 261 measured for the full set of BA.1 and BA.2 spike mutations, we created spike proteins containing 262 combinations of NTD, RBD, and S2 mutation sets from the WHU1, BA.1 or BA.2 variants. We then 263 assayed these spike proteins for mAb binding using 12 RBD-targeting mAbs (Figure 4A). For most RBD-264 targeting mAbs, such as C144, the set of BA.1- and BA.2-RBD mutations alone decreased binding to the 265 level of the complete set of BA.1 or BA.2 spike mutations (Figure 4B). Interestingly, only the combination 266 of BA.1-RBD and -S2 mutations recapitulate the loss of N3-1 binding measured for the full BA.1 spike 267 (Figure 4C). In contrast, the BA.2-RBD mutations alone were adequate for reduced N3-1 binding.

268 To determine if differences in the ability of BA.1 and BA.2 to escape of N3-1 was intrinsic to their 269 RBD and S2 mutations, we created chimeric spikes by swapping the BA.1 and BA.2 mutation sets (NTD, 270 RBD, and S2) (Figure 4D). We observed a further 1.4-fold reduction in N3-1 binding when the BA.1-RBD was replaced with the BA.2-RBD, in the BA.1 spike. Furthermore, the BA.2-S2 mutations did not 271 synergistically reduce N3-1 binding when combined with the BA.1-NTD and -RBD mutations. Conversely, 272 replacing BA.2-RBD mutations with BA.1-RBD mutations dampened N3-1 escape. By swapping the BA.1-273 274 S2 mutations into the BA.2 spike, we did observe a marginal (1.1-fold) reduction in N3-1 binding. We 275 postulate that the N856K and L981F mutations, which comprise the only differences between the BA.1and BA.2-S2 mutations sets, alter the RBD-up vs -down equilibrium or spike conformation, thus further 276

277 reducing N3-1 binding. These data highlight possible routes of quaternary-binding mAb evasion by
278 Omicron through altering antigenic epitopes and RBD dynamics (Figure 4E).

279

280 Omicron spike domains provide stability compensation to immune evasive RBD

Expression-a proxy for spike stability-correlates with improved infectivity and viral fitness^{56,57}. BA.1 281 spikes express 1.2-fold greater than WHU1 spikes while BA.2 express 2.1-fold lower (Figure S1D). We 282 283 determined the combination of mutations that are responsible for this increased expression by establishing 284 the effect of each individual BA.1 and BA.2 mutation. Spike expression was monitored via fluorescent signals from two discrete epitopes: a triple FLAG in the linker between the ectodomain and transmembrane 285 286 domain and the foldon trimerization domain (Figure S4A-B) (See Methods). Most of the BA.1 and BA.2, 287 NTD and S2 mutations enhanced spike expression. For example, NTD mutations del69-70 and ins214EPE 288 in BA.1, and G142D shared by both BA.1 and BA.2 improved WHU1 spike expression. Reversion of either 289 del69-70 or ins214EPE in the BA.1 spike only modestly decreased expression (Figure S4C). Interestingly, 290 the G142D mutation is more central to the overall stability of the BA.2 spike, as its reversion reduced BA.2 291 spike expression 5.3-fold. Surprisingly, we also saw extremely destabilizing mutations in the BA.1 and 292 BA.2 RBDs. The addition of S375F to the WHU1 spike dramatically reduced expression 12.3-fold. Mutations N440K and E484A, which are responsible for mAb escape, and N501Y, which enhances hACE2 293 294 affinity are also mildly destabilizing (Figure S4D).

Next, we assayed each set of domain-specific mutations (NTD, RBD, and S2) in the context of WHU1 spike (**Figure 4F**) to determine their relative effects on spike expression. We found that both the BA.1- and BA.2-RBD mutation sets greatly reduced spike protein expression relative to WHU1, whereas the NTD and S2 mutation sets increased expression. The fully mutated BA.1 spike had greater expression than the WHU1 spike, suggesting that the destabilizing mutations in the RBD are compensated for primarily by mutations in the NTD and S2 domains. Further domain exchanges showed that the BA.1 NTD mutations are sufficient to stabilize the BA.1 RBD mutations, with the S2 mutations contributing, but insufficient on their own. BA.2 follows the same general pattern, however the BA.2-NTD and -S2 were less effective at offsetting the relatively milder decreased expression associated with the BA.2-RBD. This finding is consistent with the relatively poor spike expression in BA.2, compared to expression by the WHU1 and BA.1 variants. Lastly, we swapped BA.1 and BA.2 domains for the BA.1 and BA.2 spikes to determine if stabilizing effects were transferrable. Interestingly, the exchange of BA.2 NTD mutations into the BA.1 spike greatly reduce spike expression (**Figure 4G**). The exchange of BA.1 RBD mutations into the BA.2 spike also reduced BA.2 spike expression.

309 To test how expression relates to spike stability, we tested the thermal denaturation of a subset of spike 310 variants via differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) (see Methods). Soluble SARS-CoV-2 spike trimers generate two distinct denaturation peaks, denoted here as Tm1 and Tm2. Compared to other VOCs, the 311 BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins have poor thermostability, as shown by their respective 7 °C and 6 °C shifts 312 313 in Tm1, relative to WHU1 (Figures S4A-B). DSF measurements of spike variants reveals that these effects 314 are driven by RBD mutations in BA.1 (Figures S4C-F) and BA.2 (Figures S5G-J). In sum, both BA.1 and BA.2 spikes are destabilized by their highly mutated RBDs. Although the BA.1 NTD mutations don't 315 316 improve the thermostability of the spike protein, they compensate for poor spike expression. Taken 317 together, these results reveal that the expression loss due to nAb-evasive but otherwise destabilizing RBD 318 mutations is offset by otherwise stabilizing NTD & S2 mutations. These mutations work synergistically 319 with a particular spike background, as swapping mutation sets between BA.1 and BA.2 leads to overall 320 reduced expression.

321

322 **Discussion:**

This work dissects the effects of individual mutations in different spike protein contexts to understand how these mutations evade neutralizing antibodies and impact spike stability. The G142D, del143-145 mutation cluster in the BA.1-NTD is both necessary and sufficient for the comprehensive escape of class I, III, and

IV NTD-targeting mAbs (Figure 2F). All BA.2-NTD mutations, except for V213G, collectively contribute
to the escape of class II, III, and IV NTD-targeting mAbs (Figure 2G). These results highlight antigenic
differences between the BA variants and the original variant, as well as between the BA.1 and BA.2 spike
NTDs themselves. Moreover, our work reveals that multiple NTD mutations additively evade potent NTDdirected mAbs.

331 In contrast to the NTD, mutations in the BA.1- and BA.2-RBDs often resulted in non-additive levels of escape from RBD-targeting mAbs. We identified instances where multiple mutations were 332 required to escape binding completely. For example, reversions of either N440K or G446S in the BA.1 333 334 spike largely restored the binding of REGN10933 and C135 (Figure 3C). We also identified several class II mAbs (C002 and C144) that failed to show binding improvements after single mutation reversions in the 335 336 Omicron spike proteins, suggesting redundant mutations contributing to antigenic escape (Figure 3B-D). 337 We speculate that Omicron RBDs have undergone extensive mutation under continuous pressure to evade 338 diverse classes of RBD-targeting antibodies, outside of the predominant class II antibodies found in polyclonal plasmas after immunization or natural infection³². This redundancy in escape may also arise 339 340 from mutation-induced alterations in RBD conformational equilibria and dynamics, as described in previous structure studies^{53,54,58}. 341

342 Reverting individual BA.1 and BA.2 mutations back to WT (WHU1) in the BA.1 and BA.2 spikes 343 generally failed to restore binding for the four mAbs we tested that were capable of binding multiple RBDs at once: C002, C144, 2-43, and N3-1 (Figures S3F-G). These results suggested that the virus was likely 344 345 not simply presenting different epitopes than the ancestral variant but presenting them in the context of 346 different proportions of RBD up vs down states. We showed that RBD-S2 cross-domain interactions in the 347 BA.1 spike led to reduced N3-1 binding beyond the BA.1-RBD mutations alone (Figure 4C). In further support of this hypothesis, the removal of the N856K and L981F mutations from the BA.1 spike, which are 348 349 implicated in creating cross-domain interactions, partially restored N3-1 binding to the level of the BA.1-350 RBD mutations alone (Figure 4D). Similarly, BA.2-RBD mutations proved even more effective than

BA.1-RBD mutations for escaping N3-1, in line with recently solved structures that show that the down
conformation of the RBD is largely stabilized in the BA.2-RBD mutations⁵⁸.

The accumulation of novel mutation clusters in Omicron, likely due to selection pressure to evade 353 354 immunity, came at the cost of destabilizing the RBD. Several RBD mutations, most notably S375F, 355 drastically reduce spike expression (Figure S4D). We propose that NTD mutations such as the 69-70 356 deletion offset protein folding/stability deficiencies associated with Omicron RBDs⁵⁷. Addition of BA.1-NTD mutations compensated for the poor expression of the BA.1-RBD mutations (Figure 4F). 357 358 Interestingly, the same compensation effects were not seen with the BA.2-NTD and BA.2-RBD mutations, 359 resulting a lower net expression of BA.2 spike relative to WHU1 in our assays. Future studies will be 360 required to explore whether and how improvements in immune evasion have led to fitness costs for Omicron 361 relative to spike expression and fitness.

362 Our data suggest that the Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 subvariants retain high affinity for hACE2. Previous directed evolution⁵² and YSD-DMS⁵⁰ studies have shown N501Y to greatly improve hACE2 363 binding and Q498R to moderately reduce it; these studies also determined that the co-occurrence of N501Y 364 365 and Q498R synergistically boosted hACE2 affinity. We confirm that the full Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 spikes also benefit from these substitutions, with N501Y and Q498R playing critical roles in the molecular 366 367 engagement of hACE2 (Figure 3I-J). Intriguingly, this effect appears distinct in BA.1 versus BA.2 (Figure 368 **S3D-E**). As compared to BA.1, N501Y has a much greater impact on ACE2 binding in BA.2 but Q498R is 369 not as critical, possibly due to the absence of BA.1 mutations G446S and G496S. Taken together, these 370 results reveal evolutionary features of Omicron spikes that enable the accruement of immune evasive 371 mutations without sacrificing hACE2 affinity and infectivity.

Our study has several limitations. First, we used a prefusion stabilized spike protein that does not precisely mimic the dynamics of the native Omicron spike protein²⁶. Second, our binding assays use a set of potent neutralizing mAbs which only serve as proxies for the antibodies found in patient antibody repertoires after immunization or natural infection. Third, our work only touches on antibody recognition

and hACE2 binding; T-cell immunity plays a critical role in protecting against SARS-CoV-2 disease.
Additional studies focused on the perturbations of spike variants on T-cell response will continue to bridge
the gap in the understanding of immune escape between humoral and cell-mediated immunity.

379 In the aggregate, the data presented here add critical new information about key features of 380 Omicron spike protein mutations and how these mutations synergize to create spike variants that 381 successfully evade antibodies while maintaining high affinity hACE2 binding. Our binding maps largely 382 complement prior structure-based studies of binding escape, but now provide new insights into the role of 383 compensatory substitutions in the NTD that impact both expression/stability and conformation. We 384 conclude that the continuing accumulation of NTD mutations will further alter the conformational 385 equilibrium and stability of the spike protein to allow for the accumulation of new, more virulent 386 mutations in the RBD. Further, our study also highlights the importance of rapidly analyzing novel 387 variant spike proteins in near-native contexts. As SARS-CoV-2 continues to evolve and new variants 388 inevitably arise and spread, it is critical that these mutations can be understood in their native genetic contexts to better inform future therapeutic targets and vaccine formulations. Finally, the strategy we have 389 390 used here will be applicable to future zoonotic outbreaks and other heretofore unrelated microbial 391 pathogens. Mammalian cell-display will continue to serve as a powerful platform for investigating 392 evolutionary trajectories of infectious agents and engineering conformational vaccine candidates.

393 Figure Captions

394 Figure 1: Omicron spike protein ectodomain has dozens of mutations contributing antibody escape.

- A. The number of mutated amino acid positions for each VOI and VOC. Spike NTD mutations, light
 blue; spike RBD mutations, yellow; spike S2 mutations, dark blue; other mutations, white.
- B. Distribution of all non-synonymous mutations (substitutions = 42,077,816; insertions = 31,063; deletions = 15,664,146, colored as in Figure 1A) found in GISAID (accessed on 18/December/2021). The NTD has the majority of insertions and deletions (81% and 84% respectively).
- 401 C. SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain structure (PDB: 7DDN⁵⁹) with mutations found in BA.1 and BA.2
 402 colored by domain as in Figure 1A.
- 403 D. Mutations found in the Omicron spike variants. Shading indicates the percentage of BA.1 or BA.2
 404 strains containing these mutations, as analyzed on outbreak.info (accessed on 12/February/2022).
- E. Spike Display overview. Spike protein ectodomains with different mutation sets are constructed using a semi-automated Golden Gate-cloning pipeline, displayed on the surface of HEK293T cells, and assayed in high-throughput with flow cytometry. Biophysical characterization is performed with spikes cleaved from cell surfaces.
- F. Relative monoclonal antibody binding to spikes from VOCs (Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Omicron) and a VOI (Epsilon). Red, decreased binding; blue, increased binding; normalized to the original WHU1 spike with D614G mutation (top row). Mean ± SD of log-transformed values from at least two biological replicates. Spike domain targets and epitope classifications of antibodies shown on top, * = quaternary binding.
- G. Authentic BA.1 virus neutralization for selected NTD-directed monoclonal antibodies. Mean ± SD
 of two biological replicates. Curves are a Sigmoidal (4PL, X), Least squares fit, IC50 values listed
 in Figure S1E.
- 417 H. Authentic BA.1 virus neutralization for selected RBD-directed monoclonal antibodies as in Figure
 418 1G.

419 Figure 2: NTD indels and substitutions enable mAb binding escape

- A. An enlarged NTD structure (PDB: 7DDN⁵⁹) with nonsynonymous mutations from BA.1 (brown),
 BA.2 (grey), or both (black) indicated.
- B. Relative mAb binding to WHU1 spike proteins containing BA.1-NTD mutations. Red, decreased binding; blue, increased binding; normalized to the WHU1 spike. Mutations color coded as in Figure 2A.
- 425 C. Relative mAb antibody binding to WHU1 spike proteins containing BA.2-NTD mutations. Colored
 426 as in B, normalized to the WHU1 spike. Mutations color coded as in Figure 2A.
- 427 D. Relative mAb binding to BA.1 spike proteins containing reversions of the BA.1-NTD mutations to
 428 the WHU1 sequence. Colored as in Figure 2B, normalized to the BA.1 spike.
- E. Relative mAb binding to BA.2 spike proteins containing reversions of the BA.2-NTD mutations to
 the WHU1 sequence. Colored as in Figure 2B, normalized to the BA.2 spike protein.
- F. Comparison of log₂(normalized binding) measurements for adding (+1) BA.1-NTD mutations to the WHU1 spike versus reverting (-1) the corresponding mutations from the BA.1 spike protein.
 Mutations with equal antigenic effects in both spike contexts are expected to fall on the diagonal line y = -x.
- G. Comparison of the effect of adding and reverting BA.2-NTD mutations as in Figure 2F.
- 436 For all plots, mean \pm SD of log-transformed values from at least two biological replicates

437 Figure 3: Omicron-RBD mutations enable antibody evasion and preserve hACE2 affinity

- A. An enlarged RBD structure (PDB: 7DDN⁵⁹) with mutations in BA.1 (brown), BA.2 (grey), or both (black) indicated.
- B. Relative monoclonal antibody binding to WHU1 spike proteins containing BA.1- and BA.2-RBD mutations. Red, decreased binding; blue, increased binding; relative to the WHU1 spike.
- C. Relative monoclonal antibody binding to BA.1 spike proteins containing reversions of the BA.1 RBD mutations to the WHU1 sequence. Colored as in Figure 3B, normalized to the BA.1 spike.
- 444 D. Relative monoclonal antibody binding to BA.2 spike proteins containing reversions of the BA.2 445 RBD mutations to the WHU1 sequence. Colored as in Figure 3B, normalized to the BA.2 spike.
- E. Comparison of the effect of adding (+1) BA.1-RBD mutations to the WHU1 spike protein versus reverting (-1) the corresponding mutations from the BA.1 spike. Mutations with equal antigenic effects in both spike contexts are expected to fall on the diagonal line y = -x.
- F. Comparison of the effect of adding and reverting BA.2-RBD mutations as in Figure 3E.
- G. Relative monoclonal antibody C135 binding to the WHU1, BA.1, and BA.2 spike proteins and spike proteins containing the N440K, G446S, or N501Y mutations or reversions as appropriate, normalized to the level of binding to the WHU1 spike.
- H. Relative monoclonal antibody C144 binding to the WHU1, BA.1, and BA.2 spike proteins and spike proteins containing the E484A or Q493R mutations or reversions, normalized to the level of binding to the WHU1 spike. BA.2 does not contain G446S.
- I. Relative monomeric hACE2 binding to WHU1 spikes containing BA.1- and BA.2-RBD mutations (WHU1 base), and BA.1 / BA.2 spike proteins containing reversions of the RBS BA.1 / BA.2
 mutations (BA.1 base / BA.2 base). Red, decreased binding; blue, increased binding; relative to the WHU1, BA.1, and BA.2 spike proteins as appropriate.
- J. Monomeric hACE2 binding to WHU1 and BA.1 spike proteins and spike proteins containing the
 Q498R or N501Y mutations or reversions as appropriate, measured by BLI. All values are
 normalized to the binding of the WHU1 spike.
- 463 For all plots, mean \pm SD of log-transformed values from at least two biological replicates
- 464

Figure 4: Cross-domain interactions contribute to mAb escape and stabilize the Omicron spike protein

- 467 A. Differences in monoclonal antibody binding of RBD-directed antibodies against spike proteins
 468 containing combinations of the NTD, RBD, and S2 mutation sets from the BA.1 and BA.2 variants.
 469 Red, decreased binding; blue, increased binding, relative to the WHU1 spike.
- B. Monoclonal antibody binding of C144 to spike proteins containing combinations of the NTD, RBD,
 and S2 BA.1 and BA.2 mutation sets relative to WHU1.
- 472 C. Monoclonal antibody binding of N3-1 to spike proteins containing combinations of the NTD, RBD,
 473 and S2 BA.1 and BA.2 mutation sets relative to WHU1.
- D. Additional N3-1 binding data as in Figure 4C.
- E. Proposed escape mechanism for biparatropic antibody (N3-1) by Omicron spike proteins. Antibody binding reduced via mutations at the N3-1 binding epitope on the RBD (top). Top-down view of spike protein trimers with annotated RBD up vs down positions (bottom). Violet circles, N3-1 binding epitope; green dot, preserved binding; red "x," escaped binding.
- F. Differences in expression of spike proteins containing combinations of the NTD, RBD, and S2
 BA.1 and BA.2 mutation sets. Data normalized to WHU1 spike expression.

481	G. Additional expression data as in Figure 4F.	
482	or all plots, mean \pm SD of log-transformed values from at least two biological replicates	
483		
484		
485		
486		
487		
488		
489		
490		
491		
492		
493		
494		
495		
496		
497		
498		
499		
500		
501		
502		
503		
504		
505		
506		
507		
508		

509 Acknowledgments

- 510 We thank Drs. Marc Boom and Dirk Sostman for ongoing support; and Dr. Sasha M. Pejerrey, Dr.
- 511 Heather McConnell, and Ms. Adrienne Winston for editorial contributions. The research was supported in
- 512 part by the Houston Methodist Academic Institute Infectious Diseases Fund and many generous Houston
- 513 philanthropists (JMM and JDG). We are especially grateful to Carole Walter Looke and Jim Looke for
- their generous philanthropic gift to ADAPT (JDG). The funders had no role in the design and conduct of
- the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or
- approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. KJ was supported by
- 517 the Provost's Graduate Excellence Fellowship (PGEF) at UT Austin. The research was also supported by
- a Cooperative Agreement (W911NF-17-2-0091) between ARL and UT Austin to ADE and JDG, the Bill
- and Melinda Gates Foundation (KJ, CWC, AA, and IJF), and the Welch Foundation (F-1808 to IJF).

520 Author Contributions

- 521 KJ, THSS, IJF, and JDG designed the research. KJ performed the flow experiments. KJ, DRB, AA, and
- 522 JDG purified antibodies and other reagents. KJ and THSS cloned spike variants. KJ and CWC performed
- 523 BLI DSF experiments. RJO and JMM isolated and sequenced Omicron. XX, HX, PS, and SW provided
- the authentic virus neutralization data. KJ, THSS, CDJ, and JDG analyzed the data. KJ, THSS, ADE, IJF,
- and JDG wrote the paper with editorial assistance from all co-authors.

526 **Declaration of Interests**

- 527 The authors declare competing financial interests. DRB, ADE, and JDG have filed patent applications
- 528 monoclonal antibodies targeting SARS-CoV-2. KJ, C.-W.C., and I.J.F. have filed patent applications on
- 529 spike 6p (HexaPro). The authors declare no competing non-financial interests.

530 Inclusion and Diversity

- 531 One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as an underrepresented ethnic minority in science.
- 532 The author list of this paper includes contributors from the location where the research was conducted
- who participated in the data collection, design, analysis, and/or interpretation of the work.

534

535

536

537

538

539

541 MATERIALS & METHODS DETAILS

542 Spike variant cloning

We assembled protein variants using a Golden Gate / TypeIIs cloning framework. Generally, segments of 543 the spike protein coding sequence were ordered as synthetic DNA fragments (IDT, eBlocks), cloned into 544 a plasmid backbone, and sequence verified. Verified DNA parts were transferred with plasmid backbone 545 546 into 96-well PCR plates using an Echo 525 liquid handler (Beckman Coulter). To each well, we added the following Golden Gate reaction mixture: 0.25 µL of T4 or T7 DNA Ligase (NEB, M0202 / M0318), 0.25 547 µL of AarI (Thermo Fisher, ER1582), 0.2 µL AarI Oligo (Thermo Fisher), 1 µL T4 DNA Ligase Buffer 548 (NEB B0202A), and nuclease-free water to bring the final volume to 10 μ L per reaction. We incubated 549 550 the reaction mixtures on a thermocycler using the following settings: 25 digestion and ligation cycles (1 551 min at 37°C and 2 min at 16°C), a final digestion step (30 min at 37°C), and heat inactivation (20 min at 552 80°C). For assemblies with 4+ parts, we increased the cycled digestion and ligation steps to 3 and 5 min, 553 respectively, to improve assembly efficiencies.

554 We transformed each unique reaction mixture into NEB10-beta cells (NEB, C3019) using the

555 Mix & Go! E.coli Transformation Buffer Set (Zymo Research, T3002). Cell were outgrown with SOC at

556 37° C and drop-plated onto LB agar + carbenicillin in Nunc OmniTrays (5 μ L per spot, 96-spots per plate)

557 (Thermo Fisher, 140156). We allowed the drops to dry at room temperature before transferring the plates

to an incubator at 37°C for growth overnight. After growth, we picked colonies into deep well grow

blocks (Axygen P-2ML-SQ-C-S or Greiner Bio-One, 780270) containing 1 mL of Superior Broth

560 (AthenaES, 0105) media + carbenicillin and grew them overnight at 37°C with shaking. Overnights were

561 miniprepped using the Wizard SV 96 Plasmid DNA Purification Kit (Promega A2250) and sequence-

- 562 verified before further characterization.
- 563

564 Expression and purification of neutralizing anti-spike monoclonal antibodies

565 We cultured Expi293 cells in Expi293 Expression Medium (Sigma-Aldrich A1435101) and used a humidified cell culture incubator to maintain cells at 37°C and 8% CO₂ with continuous shaking at 125 566 567 rpm. For transfection, we used an Expi293 Transfection Kit (Sigma-Aldrich L3287) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, we transfected cells with VH and VL expression vectors at a 1:3 568 569 molar ratio. Five days after transfection, we collected the protein-containing supernatant using a two-step 570 centrifugation protocol. First, we separated cells and supernatant by centrifuging cultures at 4°C and 300 571 g for 5 min. Next, we separated cell debris and supernatant by centrifuging at 4°C and 3,000 g for 25 min. To purify human IgGs, we washed Protein G magnetic beads (Promega G7471) with PBS buffer and 572

- added the beads to the separated supernatant in a 1:10 volumetric ratio. After a 1h incubation with
- 574 gyration at room temperature, we used a magnetic peg stand to pellet bead-bound antibodies, which we
- washed before final elution with a 100 mM glycine-HCl solution at pH 2.5. Finally, we passed the elute
- 576 through a 0.22-μm syringe filter to clarify residual beads before neutralization with 2 M Tris buffer at pH
- 577 7.5. We kept purified antibodies at 4°C or -20°C for short and long-term storage, respectively.
- 578

579 Expression and purification of human ACE2-Fc

580 We recombinantly expressed human ACE2-Fc in Expi293 cells using a previously described method with 581 minor modification⁶². Briefly, we transfected the ACE2-Fc expression vector into Expi293T cells (Sigma-

- Aldrich). Five days after transfection, we centrifuged the cultures at 4°C and 300 g for 5 min and
- collected the supernatant. We further separated cell debris and supernatant by centrifuging at 10,000 g
- and 4°C for 20 min. After resuspending it in PBS, we purified ACE2-Fc over Protein A Agarose (Thermo
- 585 Fisher 15918014). We next equilibrated the Protein A Agarose in PBS buffer, ran through the supernatant
- three times, and used 10 bed volumes of PBS buffer for washing. Finally, we used 100 mM glycine-HCL
- at pH 2.4 to elute ACE2-Fc into 0.1x volume Tris buffer at pH 8.5 and 100 mM NaCl. We kept purified
- 588 ACE2-Fc at 4°C and -20°C for short and long-term storage, respectively.
- 589

590 Expression and purification of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins

591 We transfected and expressed plasmids using Expi293 cells using previously described methods²⁶.

592 Briefly, we purified variants from 40 mL of cell culture. We filtered the supernatant using a 0.22-µm filter

and ran it through a StrepTactin Superflow column (IBA 2-1206-025). We further purified spikes via

594 Superose 6 Increase 10/300 (GE GE29-0915-96) size-exclusion column chromatography with a buffer

containing 2 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, and 0.02% NaN₃. We kept purified samples at 4° C and -

- 596 20°C for short and long-term storage, respectively.
- 597

598 HEK293T transfection

599 We seeded cells into 6 or 12-well polystyrene-coated plates (VWR 10861-696, 10861-698) at a density of

600 0.3×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ or 0.1 x 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹, respectively, one day before transfection. At 60-80%

601 confluence, we used Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher L3000015) and Opti-MEM (Gibco 51985091) 602 to transfect cells with expression plasmids (3 μ L of lipofectamine per μ g of plasmid DNA) according to

602 to transfect cens with expression plasmids (5 μ L of inpotential me per μ g of plasmid DNA) a

- 603 manufacturer instructions. At 48 h post-transfection, we assayed or collected the cells.
- 604

605 Flow cytometry and data analysis

At 48 h post-transfection, we collected HEK293T cells containing surface-displayed spike. We washed cells once with PBS and used gentle pipetting to resuspend them in PBS. We used the Logos Biosystems (L40002) cell counter to determine cell density and spun cells down at 200 g for 1 min. We next decanted the supernatant and resuspended cells to a density of $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹ in chilled PBS-BSA using 1% BSA (Sigma Aldrich A3294) 1X PBS and 2 mM EDTA at pH 7.4

610 BSA (Sigma-Aldrich A3294), 1X PBS, and 2 mM EDTA at pH 7.4.

611 We used Axygen deep well grow blocks (P-2ML-SQ-C-S) to prepare flow cytometric assays. We 612 added predetermined concentrations of primary antibody or chimeric cell receptor (ACE2-Fc) diluted in

613 PBS-BSA and 50 μ L (1.5 x 10⁵) of HEK293T cells to each well. We incubated the mixtures at room

temperature for 1 h with shaking at 950 rpm. To pellet cells, we spun plates at 500 g in a swinging bucket

- $figure{1}{1}$ rotor for 2 min. We then washed cells twice by decanting the supernatant and adding 500 μ L of PBS-BSA
- $\label{eq:constraint} \textbf{ 616} \qquad \textbf{ to each well. To each well, we added 500 \ \mu L \ \textbf{ total volume of 5 } \mu M \ Alexa \ Fluor \ \ \textbf{ 488 anti-mouse}$
- $\label{eq:secondary} \mbox{ secondary (SouthernBiotech 1031-30) and 10 μM Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-human secondary }$
- 618 (SouthernBiotech 2048-31) antibodies in PBS-BSA. We incubated plates in the dark for 25 min at 4°C
- 619 with shaking at 950 g. We washed each well twice with PBS-BSA and resuspended cells in PBS-BSA

 $\label{eq:constraint} 620 \qquad (300 \ \mu L) \ before \ loading \ them \ onto \ the \ SA3800 \ Spectral \ Cell \ Analyzer \ (SONY).$

To establish forward scatter-area (FSC-A) and side scatter-area (SSC-A) gating, we used
 HEK293T cells. For singlet discrimination, we gated with forward scatter-height (FSC-H) vs forward

scatter-area (FSC-A) and side scatter-height (SSC-H) vs side scatter-area (SSC-A). For each assayed
sample, we acquired a minimum of 10,000 singlet events. We further analyzed singlet HEK293T cells
using Alexa Fluor 488 (AF-488) and Alexa Fluor 647 (AF-647) channels with excitation and detection
settings recommended by the manufacturer. To reduce spectral spill-over and autofluorescence effects, we
applied spectral unmixing to all data.
For each sample, we measured the median height (*H*) for the AF-488 and AF-647 channels. To
measure spike expression, we used the signal from the AF-488 channel (anti-FLAG). We used the

630 following equation to calculate the expression of spike variant (x) relative to WT (6P-D614G):

631 632 633

Normalized expression = $Log_2(Median: 488_H_x/Median: 488_H_{6P_D614G})$

634 To correct for changes in transfection efficiency or spike expression in antibody or ACE2 binding 635 measurements, we also included anti-FLAG signal as an internal normalization control. We used the 636 following equation to calculate normalized binding measurements of spike variant (x) expression relative 637 to WT (*6P-D614G*):

- 638
- 639

Normalized binding = $Log_2(\frac{Median: 647_H_x/Median: 488_H_x}{Median: 647_H_{6P_D614G}/Median: 488_H_{6P_D614G}})$

640

641 We used FlowJo v9 for all flow cytometry data analyses.

642

643 SARS-CoV-2 authentic virus neutralization assay

To measure human sera and monoclonal antibody neutralization titers, we used a fluorescent focus 644 reduction neutralization test (FFRNT) with an mNeonGreen (mNG) reporter SARS-CoV-2 (strain USA-645 WA1-2020) or SARS-CoV-2 (strain USA-WA1-2020) bearing a variant spike gene (e.g., Omicron BA.1). 646 647 The construction of the mNG USA-WA1-2020 SARS-CoV-2 bearing variant spikes has been reported previously (Liu et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2022). For the FFRNT assay, we seeded 2.5×10^4 Vero E6 cells 648 into black, µCLEAR flat-bottom 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-OneTM). We incubated plates at 37°C with 5% 649 CO₂ overnight. The next day, each sample was two-fold serially diluted in culture medium with an initial 650 dilution of 1:20. We incubated diluted serum or antibody with 100-150 fluorescent focus units (FFU) of 651 mNG SARS-CoV-2 at 37°C for 1 h before loading the serum-virus mixtures into 96-well plates pre-seeded 652 with Vero E6 cells. Following a 1 h infection period, we removed the inoculum and added overlay medium 653 (100 µL DMEM + 0.8% methylcellulose, 2% FBS, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin). We then incubated the 654 655 plates at 37°C for 16 h and acquired raw images of mNG fluorescent foci using a CytationTM 7 (BioTek) cell imaging reader with a 2.5× FL Zeiss objective and wide field of view. We used GFP software settings 656 657 [469,525], a threshold of 4000, and an object selection size of 50-1000 µm during image processing. For relative infectivity calculations, we counted and normalized the foci in each well relative to non-658 659 serum/antibody-treated controls. We plotted curves of relative infectivity versus serum dilution using Prism 9 (GraphPad). We used a nonlinear regression method to determine the dilution fold at which 50% of mNG 660 661 SARS-CoV-2 was neutralized, defined as FFRNT₅₀ in GraphPad Prism 9. Each antibody was tested in duplicate. 662

663

665 Biolayer Interferometry

After 3C protease-mediated cleavage, we diluted supernatants containing spike variants two-fold with

- 667 BLI Kinetics Buffer (Fortébio) containing 10 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.05%
- v/v Surfactant P20 (Cytiva BR100054), and 1 mg mL⁻¹ BSA. We also serially diluted analytes with the
- 669 BLI buffer. We hydrated anti-mouse Fc capture (AMC) biosensors (FortéBio 18-5088) in BLI buffer for
- 10 min in an Octet RED96e (FortéBio) system and then immobilized mouse anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-
- Aldrich F3165) antibodies to the AMC sensor tips. For each assay, we performed the following steps: 1)
- baseline: 60 s with BLI buffer; 2) IgG immobilization: 360 s with anti-FLAG IgG; 3) spike loading: 360 s
- with diluted supernatants; 4) baseline: 300 s with BLI buffer; 5) association: 600 s with serially diluted
- analytes (antibodies or ACE2); 6) dissociation: 600 s with BLI buffer. We used Octet Data Analysis
- 675 software v11.1 with steady-state analysis to reference-subtract and analyze the data.
- 676

677 Differential Scanning Fluorimetry

678 Sample solutions containing 5X SYPRO Orange Protein Gel Stain (Invitrogen S6651) and 0.15-0.20

- 679 mg/mL of purified spike protein were added to a 96-well qPCR plate (Azenta Life Sciences 4ti-0955).
- 680 Fluorescence measurements were obtained continuously using $\lambda ex=465$ nm and $\lambda em=580$ nm, using a

681 Roche LightCycler 480 II, and a temperature ramp rate of 4.5°C/minute increasing from 22 °C to 95 °C.

- 682 Fluorescence data were then plotted as the derivative of the melting curve as a function of temperature (-
- 683 dF/dT). SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins generate two local minimums that we report to as Tm1 and Tm2. All
- data were visualized in GraphPad Prism 9. For simplicity, we normalize all melting curve traces by their
 absolute min -df/dT value.
- 686

687 Computational analysis of GISAID sequence data

To investigate the clinical frequency of SARS-CoV-2 spike mutations and the probability of mutation co-688 689 occurrences, we performed pairwise amino acid sequence alignments between the GISAID spike 690 reference sequence, GenBank number QHR63250.2, and all GISAID EpiCoV database SARS-CoV-2 691 spike amino acid sequences. We downloaded amino acid sequences from GISAID (accessed on December 18, 2021) as a FASTA file. We performed semi-global amino acid sequence alignment using 692 693 MATLAB's Needleman-Wunsch alignment function as a part of its Bioinformatics Toolbox add-on. We set alignment parameters to include no gap open penalty at the beginning and end of sequences, an 694 695 internal gap open penalty of 5, a gap extension penalty of 2, and the BLOSUM80 scoring matrix, as the

aligned sequences were similar.

We filtered alignment pairs to remove all sequences that were non-human in origin, sequences
containing over 1280 or fewer than 1260 amino acids, and sequences containing more than 800 unknown
("X") amino acids. We identified non-synonymous mutations from the alignments. The following
equation was used to find frequencies for each mutation:

- 701
- 702

Frequency = Σ (Sequences containing a specific mutation) / Σ (all mutations in all sequences)

703

Trequency = 2(sequences containing a specific matation) / 2(a) matations in all sequences)

We found mutations that occurred independently by removing all alignment pairs that did not
contain the target mutation and sequences that contained mutations other than the target mutation.
Additionally, we considered alignment pairs for which the only other mutation (other than the target) was

D614G were as independent, as D614G was highly prevalent in all strains after its initial appearance. The
 following equation was used to calculate frequency for independent mutations:

Frequency = Σ (Sequences containing only the specified mutation or the specified mutation and D614G) / Σ (number of all sequences searched)

713 Structural analyses and data visualization

We downloaded all structures (7DDN⁶¹, 7L2D²⁹, and 7L2E²⁹) as PDB files from the RCSB PDB and imported them into ChimeraX 1.1. We rescaled the Log_2 (normalized binding) values (-7 to 0) and converted them to monochromatic ChimeraX color codes representing changes in binding relative to 6P-D614G spike. Dark red indicates decreased binding and white indicates no change in binding. For every amino acid screened in our Spike Display assay, we superimposed these colors scales onto spike protein structures. In figures showing grouped antibody epitopes, we averaged normalized binding values for all mutations in each position for every antibody comprising that group. Finally, we converted the averaged binding values to a single color that was then mapped onto spike structures.

723 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The means \pm S.D. log-transformed values, or non-transformed values were calculated and reported for all appropriate data.

742 **References**

- Tao, K. *et al.* The biological and clinical significance of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 22, 757–773 (2021).
- Wang, P. *et al.* Antibody Resistance of SARS-CoV-2 Variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. *Nature* 1–9 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03398-2.
- Mlcochova, P. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta variant replication and immune evasion. *Nature* 599, 114–119 (2021).
- 4. Davies, N. G. *et al.* Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in
 England. *Science* 372, eabg3055 (2021).
- 5. Saito, A. *et al.* Enhanced fusogenicity and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Delta P681R mutation. *Nature* 602, 300–306 (2022).
- 6. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants. https://www.who.int/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants.
- 755 7. Suzuki, R. *et al.* Attenuated fusogenicity and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant.
 756 *Nature* (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04462-1.
- Nishiura, H. *et al.* Relative Reproduction Number of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529)
 Compared with Delta Variant in South Africa. *J. Clin. Med.* 11, 30 (2022).
- Viana, R. *et al.* Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern
 Africa. *Nature* 1–10 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04411-y.
- 10. Reuters. WHO says Omicron in 89 countries and spreading rapidly. *The Guardian* (2021).
- 11. Cheng, V. C.-C. *et al.* Rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariant BA.2 in a single-source
 community outbreak. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* ciac203 (2022) doi:10.1093/cid/ciac203.
- Yamasoba, D. *et al.* Virological characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 BA.2 variant. 2022.02.14.480335
 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.02.14.480335.
- 766 13. Sette, A. & Crotty, S. Adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. *Cell* 184, 861–880
 767 (2021).
- 14. Moss, P. The T cell immune response against SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Immunol.* 23, 186–193 (2022).
- 769 15. GeurtsvanKessel, C. H. *et al.* Divergent SARS-CoV-2 Omicron–reactive T and B cell responses
 770 in COVID-19 vaccine recipients. *Sci. Immunol.* 7, eabo2202.
- 16. Grifoni, A. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 human T cell epitopes: Adaptive immune response against
 COVID-19. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 1076–1092 (2021).
- 17. Harvey, W. T. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 409–424 (2021).
- 18. Cao, Y. *et al.* Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. *Nature* 602, 657–663 (2022).

- 19. Cameroni, E. *et al.* Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic
 shift. *Nature* 602, 664–670 (2022).
- Planas, D. *et al.* Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. *Nature*(2021) doi:10.1038/d41586-021-03827-2.
- 21. Liu, L. *et al.* Striking antibody evasion manifested by the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 602, 676–681 (2022).
- 783 22. Iketani, S. *et al.* Antibody evasion properties of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron sublineages. *Nature* 1–1
 784 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04594-4.
- Z3. Javanmardi, K. *et al.* Rapid characterization of spike variants via mammalian cell surface display. *Mol. Cell* 81, 5099-5111.e8 (2021).
- 787 24. Korber, B. *et al.* Tracking Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that D614G Increases
 788 Infectivity of the COVID-19 Virus. *Cell* 182, 812-827.e19 (2020).
- Long, S. W. *et al.* Molecular Architecture of Early Dissemination and Massive Second Wave of
 the SARS-CoV-2 Virus in a Major Metropolitan Area. *mBio* 11, (2020).
- 791 26. Hsieh, C.-L. *et al.* Structure-based design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spikes. *Science*792 369, 1501–1505 (2020).
- 793 27. Barnes, C. O. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies.
 794 *Nature* 588, 682–687 (2020).
- Chi, X. *et al.* A neutralizing human antibody binds to the N-terminal domain of the Spike protein
 of SARS-CoV-2. *Science* 369, 650 (2020).
- 29. Cerutti, G. *et al.* Potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies directed against spike N-terminal
 domain target a single supersite. *Cell Host Microbe* (2021) doi:10.1016/j.chom.2021.03.005.
- 30. Voss, W. N. *et al.* Prevalent, protective, and convergent IgG recognition of SARS-CoV-2 nonRBD spike epitopes. *Science* 372, 1108–1112 (2021).
- 801 31. Liu, L. *et al.* Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike.
 802 *Nature* 584, 450–456 (2020).
- 32. Greaney, A. J. *et al.* Mapping mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 RBD that escape binding by
 different classes of antibodies. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4196 (2021).
- 33. Hansen, J. *et al.* Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2
 antibody cocktail. *Science* 369, 1010–1014 (2020).
- Weinreich, D. M. *et al.* REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with
 Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 384, 238–251 (2021).
- 809 35. Chen, P. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody LY-CoV555 in Outpatients with Covid-19.
 810 *N. Engl. J. Med.* 384, 229–237 (2021).
- 811 36. Gupta, A. *et al.* Early Treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody
 812 Sotrovimab. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 385, 1941–1950 (2021).

813 37. Starr, T. N. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 RBD antibodies that maximize breadth and resistance to escape.
814 *Nature* 1–9 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03807-6.

815 38. Goike, J. *et al.* Synthetic repertoires derived from convalescent COVID-19 patients enable
816 discovery of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and a novel quaternary binding modality. *bioRxiv*

817 2021.04.07.438849 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.04.07.438849.

818 39. Yu, J. *et al.* Neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 Variants. *N. Engl. J.*819 *Med.* 0, null (2022).

40. Zhou, H., Tada, T., Dcosta, B. M. & Landau, N. R. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
BA.2 by Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies. 2022.02.15.480166 (2022)

doi:10.1101/2022.02.15.480166.

41. McCallum, M. *et al.* Molecular basis of immune evasion by the Delta and Kappa SARS-CoV-2
variants. *Science* 374, 1621–1626 (2021).

42. McCallum, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 immune evasion by the B.1.427/B.1.429 variant of concern. *Science* 373, 648–654 (2021).

43. Zhou, D. *et al.* Evidence of escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.351 from natural and vaccineinduced sera. *Cell* 184, 2348-2361.e6 (2021).

44. McCallum, M. *et al.* N-terminal domain antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for
SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* 0, (2021).

45. Cerutti, G. *et al.* Neutralizing antibody 5-7 defines a distinct site of vulnerability in SARS-CoV-2
spike N-terminal domain. *Cell Rep.* 37, 109928 (2021).

46. Piccoli, L. *et al.* Mapping Neutralizing and Immunodominant Sites on the SARS-CoV-2 Spike
Receptor-Binding Domain by Structure-Guided High-Resolution Serology. *Cell* 183, 1024-1042.e21
(2020).

47. Greaney, A. J. *et al.* Comprehensive mapping of mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding
domain that affect recognition by polyclonal human plasma antibodies. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 463-476.e6
(2021).

48. Greaney, A. J. *et al.* Antibodies elicited by mRNA-1273 vaccination bind more broadly to the
receptor binding domain than do those from SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 13, eabi9915
(2021).

842 49. Rochman, N. D. *et al.* Epistasis at the SARS-CoV-2 Receptor-Binding Domain Interface and the
843 Propitiously Boring Implications for Vaccine Escape. *mBio* 0, e00135-22.

Starr, T. N. *et al.* Shifting mutational constraints in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain
during viral evolution. 2022.02.24.481899 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.02.24.481899.

846 51. McCallum, M. *et al.* Structural basis of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron immune evasion and receptor
847 engagement. *Science* (2022).

848 52. Cerutti, G. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike. *Cell Rep.* 38, 110428
849 (2022).

- 850 53. Yin, W. et al. Structures of the Omicron Spike trimer with ACE2 and an anti-Omicron antibody.
- 851 2021.12.27.474273 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.27.474273v1 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.27.474273
- **852** doi:10.1101/2021.12.27.474273.
- Starr, T. N. *et al.* Deep Mutational Scanning of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain Reveals
 Constraints on Folding and ACE2 Binding. *Cell* 182, 1295-1310.e20 (2020).
- S5. Zahradník, J. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 variant prediction and antiviral drug design are enabled by RBD
 in vitro evolution. *Nat. Microbiol.* 6, 1188–1198 (2021).
- Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution and Enhances
 Borotease Cleavage at the S1/S2 Junction. *Cell Rep.* 34, 108630 (2021).
- Meng, B. *et al.* Recurrent emergence of SARS-CoV-2 spike deletion H69/V70 and its role in the
 Alpha variant B.1.1.7. *Cell Rep.* 35, 109292 (2021).
- 861 58. Cele, S. *et al.* Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization.
 862 *Nature* 602, 654–656 (2022).
- 863 59. Pulliam, J. R. C. *et al.* Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of
 864 Omicron in South Africa. *Science* 0, eabn4947.
- 865 60. Stalls, V. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. 2022.04.07.487528
 866 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.04.07.487528.
- 867 61. Zhang, C. *et al.* Development and structural basis of a two-MAb cocktail for treating SARS-CoV2 infections. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 264 (2021).
- 869 62. Wrapp, D. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation.
 870 *Science* (2020) doi:10.1126/science.abb2507.

872 Figure 1



Figure 2



876 Figure 3



878 Figure 4

